IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Shari Gharavy

Assignee: Versata Development Group, Inc.

Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PERFORMING COLLECTIVE

VALIDATION OF CREDENTIAL INFORMATION

Serial No.: 09/896,144 Filed: June 29, 2001

Examiner: Andrew J. Rudy Group Art Unit: 3687

Docket No.: T00068 Customer No.: 33438

Austin, Texas May 12, 2009

FILED ELECTRONICALLY

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-RESPONSIVE PRIOR OFFICE ACTION RESPONSE BY APPLICANT

Dear Sir:

This Response responds to the Office Action dated March 12, 2009, having a shortened statutory period expiring April 12, 2009. Accompanying this Response is a petition for a two (2) month extension of time within which to respond, such extension allowing the undersigned until May 12, 2009. Further examination and reconsideration are respectfully requested.

The March 12, 2009 Office Action stated that:

The reply filed on November 12, 2008 in combination with the January 26, 2009 Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): The January 26, 2009 IDS references "Documents for U.S. Patent Application No. 09/801,514 in the U.S.P.T.O.'s Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system." However, [] this does not provide sufficient clarity as to which documents are being referenced. Applicant is requested to particularly list each document on an appropriate Form PTO-1449 so each document may be properly reviewed.

The March 12, 2009 Office Action, since the March 12, 2009 Office Action does not indicate any deficiencies in Applicant's November 12, 2008 Response itself, and the undersigned has not discovered any deficiencies. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the

- 1 of 18-

S/N: 09/896,144

Response filed on November 12, 2008 is fully responsive under 37 CFR § 1.111. (For convenience, Applicant has included a copy of the November 12, 2008 response below.)

Regarding the January 26, 2009 IDS, Applicant understands that the IDS has not been considered for the reason specified in the March 12, 2009 Office Action. The undersigned will submit a new IDS and specifically list each document for the Examiner's consideration. Applicant respectfully submits that a full response to the March 12, 2009 Office Action and IDS submission in accordance with 37 CFR §§ 1.97, 1.98, and 1.111 do not require a showing of the relevance of each document cited in the IDS and juxtaposition to the claims. Applicant has not provided such.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the Response filed on November 12, 2008 is fully responsive under 37 CFR § 1.111. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner consider the November 12, 2008 Response. Should any issues remain that might be subject to resolution through a telephonic interview, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned at 512-338-9100.

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that on May 12, 2009 this correspondence is being transmitted via the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office's electronic filing system.

/Kent B. Chambers/

Respectfully submitted,

/Kent B. Chambers/

Kent B. Chambers Attorney for Applicant(s) Reg. No. 38,839

S/N: 09/896,144

COPY OF RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION IN THE ABOVE-IDENTIFIED CASE AS FILED ON NOVEMBER 12, 2008 (LESS BIBLIOGRAPHIC INFORMATION):

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

Dear Sir:

This submission responds to the Office Action dated June 11, 2008, having a shortened statutory period expiring September 11, 2008. Accompanying this Response is a petition for a two (2) month extension of time within which to respond, such extension allowing the undersigned until November 12, 2008 to respond (November 11, 2008 being a Federal holiday). Further examination and reconsideration are respectfully requested in view of the amendments and remarks set forth below.

-3 of 18-

S/N: 09/896,144